SINOMIKA JOURNAL
PUBLIKASI ILMIAH BIDANG EKONOMI & AKUNTANSI
ISSN (e): 2829-9701

Exploring the Intersection of Profit and Social Responsibility: Analyzing Unilever's Approach to Sustainable Business Practices

Fadila Nurhidayah[1]*, Faridah Nur Setyaningtyas[2], Nafila Ajra Syakira[3]
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Email: fadilanrh1@upi.edu[1]*, faridahns11@upi.edu[2], nafilajrsyakira19@upi.edu[3]

Abstract
In the modern business era, companies face the challenge of balancing profit generation with social and environmental responsibility. This paper explores how Unilever, a global leader in consumer goods, successfully integrates both concepts through its Sustainable Living Plan (USLP). The USLP, launched in 2010, aims to improve health and well-being for over 1 billion people, reduce environmental impact by half, and enhance livelihoods across its supply chain. This study employs a content analysis methodology, reviewing 42 scholarly journals, articles, and relevant sources to examine Unilever's approach to balancing profit and purpose. Findings show that the USLP has enabled Unilever to achieve financial growth while also fostering positive social and environmental outcomes. The paper argues that integrating social responsibility into business strategies can provide long-term competitive advantages, strengthen brand reputation, and attract both consumers and investors concerned with sustainability. This case study of Unilever serves as a model for other companies looking to align profitability with societal impact, demonstrating that sustainability is not only a moral obligation but also a strategic business advantage.

Keywords: Profit and Purpose, Sustainable Living Plan, Unilever, Corporate Sustainability, Stakeholder Primacy.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary business landscape, companies are increasingly challenged to find a balance between pursuing financial profits and fulfilling their social and environmental responsibilities. Historically, businesses have been focused on profit maximization, primarily serving the interests of shareholders. This approach, known as shareholder primacy, has been deeply embedded in the capitalist economic framework. Milton Friedman’s assertion that the primary responsibility of business is to increase profits for shareholders has shaped the way corporations have operated for much of the 20th century. Under this model, companies were expected to generate financial returns, assuming that such profit-making would naturally benefit society through employment, economic growth, and market competition.

However, in the face of pressing global challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and rising inequality, this approach has increasingly come under scrutiny. The environmental and social costs associated with unfettered profit-driven business practices have become too significant to ignore. This has led to a growing recognition of the need for stakeholder primacy, an approach that advocates for a broader definition of corporate responsibility. Unlike shareholder primacy, which focuses solely on profit for shareholders, stakeholder

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v3i6.2661

primacy emphasizes that companies must consider the interests of all parties affected by their actions—employees, customers, communities, suppliers, and the environment. This shift is based on the understanding that long-term business success is intertwined with the ability to create value for all stakeholders, not just shareholders.

In response to this evolving understanding of corporate responsibility, many businesses have adopted Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) practices. CSR initiatives often involve companies taking accountability for the social, environmental, and economic impacts of their operations. These initiatives aim to contribute to sustainable development, addressing societal challenges while achieving business objectives. However, despite the widespread adoption of CSR, there remains significant debate about the effectiveness of these initiatives in achieving meaningful change while ensuring business sustainability. A common challenge is that CSR is often treated as an add-on to business strategies rather than being integrated into the core operations of the company. As a result, many companies struggle to find a meaningful way to balance profit generation with their social and environmental commitments.

Among the companies that have successfully navigated this challenge is Unilever, a global leader in the consumer goods sector. Unilever's approach to balancing profit and purpose is exemplified through its Sustainable Living Plan (USLP), launched in 2010. This ambitious initiative aims to create a positive social and environmental impact while simultaneously achieving financial growth. Through the USLP, Unilever seeks to improve health and well-being, reduce the environmental impact of its operations, and enhance livelihoods across its supply chain. This strategy is built on the idea that sustainability is not only a moral imperative but also a strategic business advantage that can lead to greater profitability, consumer loyalty, and long-term business viability.

Unilever's commitment to integrating sustainability into its core business strategy represents a departure from the traditional focus on short-term profit maximization. Instead, Unilever has embraced the idea that businesses can generate financial value while addressing the world's most pressing challenges. This approach aligns with the concept of Creating Shared Value (CSV), introduced by Porter and Kramer. CSV suggests that companies can create economic value in a way that also creates value for society by addressing societal needs and challenges through their business operations. Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan embodies this idea by not only reducing the company's environmental footprint and improving health outcomes but also by generating sustainable business growth. Through the USLP, Unilever demonstrates that the integration of profit and purpose is not a contradiction but a complementary strategy that can deliver value for all stakeholders.

Despite Unilever's success, questions remain regarding how other companies can effectively balance profit and purpose in practice. While there is a growing body of research on the relationship between corporate sustainability and financial performance, there is a lack of empirical studies that provide a detailed analysis of how large corporations, such as Unilever, have implemented sustainability strategies and achieved tangible results. Most existing studies focus on CSR initiatives as isolated efforts or analyze the impact of sustainability on financial performance without addressing the broader social and environmental outcomes. Additionally, few studies have explored the long-term impact of integrating sustainability into a company's core business strategy, particularly in the context of multinational corporations with diverse markets.

This paper seeks to fill this gap by conducting an in-depth examination of Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan, exploring how the company has successfully integrated profit and purpose. The paper will analyze how the USLP has impacted Unilever's financial performance, brand reputation, and long-term sustainability. By studying Unilever's approach, this paper aims to provide insights for other businesses seeking to balance profitability with social responsibility. The findings from this study will contribute to the ongoing discourse on corporate sustainability, offering practical lessons for businesses aiming to integrate social and environmental goals into their strategic framework.

The importance of this study lies in its potential to influence the way companies approach corporate sustainability. In an era where stakeholders—ranging from consumers to investors—are increasingly prioritizing ethical practices and sustainability, understanding how to effectively balance profit with purpose is crucial. The ability to create shared value for both businesses and society is becoming an essential component of modern business strategies, and companies that fail to adapt to this new paradigm may find themselves at a competitive disadvantage. As such, this paper not only contributes to the academic literature on corporate sustainability but also provides valuable insights for practitioners seeking to adopt more sustainable and socially responsible business practices.

The objectives of this study are to explore how Unilever has successfully integrated profit and purpose through its Sustainable Living Plan, assess the impact of this integration on Unilever's overall performance, and examine the lessons other companies can learn from Unilever's approach. By analyzing the implementation and outcomes of the USLP, this paper will highlight the opportunities and challenges associated with balancing profitability and social responsibility in the corporate world. Ultimately, the study will demonstrate that companies can achieve long-term success by aligning their business strategies with the principles of stakeholder primacy and by integrating social and environmental goals into their core operations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Shareholder Primacy

The concept of shareholder primacy has been deeply embedded in traditional business practices and is rooted in the economic theories of Milton Friedman. In his seminal work, Friedman (1970) argues that the primary responsibility of businesses is to maximize profits for their shareholders. According to this view, businesses should focus solely on financial performance, and any actions beyond that—such as social or environmental considerations—are considered extraneous unless they directly contribute to profit generation. Friedman's theory has been widely accepted, particularly in capitalist economies, where market efficiency and profit maximization are seen as essential drivers of economic growth.

This model of shareholder primacy has been criticized for being narrow and short-sighted, particularly in the context of global challenges such as climate change, social inequality, and environmental degradation. Critics argue that businesses focused solely on profit often disregard the broader impacts of their operations on society and the environment, which can lead to long-term harm to both the company and its stakeholders (Heydari, 2020). In response to these criticisms, there has been a growing movement toward adopting more inclusive business models that take into account the interests of a broader range of stakeholders.

Stakeholder Primacy

In contrast to shareholder primacy, stakeholder primacy is a theory that emphasizes the need for companies to consider the interests of all stakeholders involved in their operations, including employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment. The stakeholder theory, introduced by Freeman (1984), posits that the success of a business is not solely determined by financial performance but by the company's ability to create value for a diverse group of stakeholders. According to Freeman, businesses should engage with stakeholders and balance their interests to create long-term value for both the company and society.

The rise of stakeholder primacy reflects a broader shift in business thinking, where companies are increasingly seen as social actors responsible for their impact on the environment and society. Freeman's theory advocates for a more holistic approach to business strategy, where the company's activities contribute not only to financial growth but also to societal well-being and environmental sustainability. This approach aligns with the growing expectations of consumers, employees, and investors, who are increasingly demanding that companies take responsibility for their social and environmental impact.

However, implementing stakeholder primacy poses significant challenges for companies. Balancing the competing interests of various stakeholders can create tensions, and businesses may struggle to find solutions that satisfy all parties. Moreover, the shift towards stakeholder-focused strategies requires companies to rethink traditional performance metrics, which have traditionally focused on financial returns, and instead adopt broader measures of success that include social and environmental outcomes.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a concept that emerged in the latter half of the 20th century as a response to the growing recognition that businesses should contribute to societal well-being beyond their economic contributions. CSR involves companies voluntarily engaging in activities that address social, environmental, and ethical issues, with the aim of positively impacting society. The scope of CSR can vary widely, ranging from environmental sustainability initiatives to community development programs, ethical labor practices, and philanthropy.

CSR has become a mainstream practice in the business world, with companies increasingly integrating it into their strategic planning. However, there is ongoing debate about the effectiveness of CSR initiatives. Some scholars argue that CSR is often a superficial, voluntary effort that companies use to improve their public image without making substantial changes to their business practices (Carroll, 1999). Others contend that CSR, when implemented effectively, can lead to a competitive advantage by strengthening brand loyalty, improving stakeholder relationships, and enhancing the company's reputation (Porter & Kramer, 2006). Despite these differences, CSR has played a crucial role in pushing businesses to recognize their broader societal and environmental responsibilities.

Creating Shared Value (CSV)

The Creating Shared Value (CSV) concept, introduced by Porter and Kramer (2011), represents a shift in thinking about CSR. Unlike traditional CSR, which is often viewed as an add-on to business strategies, CSV integrates social and environmental goals into the core business activities of a company. Porter and Kramer argue that businesses can create both economic value and social value simultaneously by addressing societal challenges through their products, services, and business operations.

CSV is based on the idea that businesses do not have to choose between profit and social responsibility; instead, by aligning business strategies with societal needs, companies can create long-term competitive advantages. Porter and Kramer identify three primary ways in which companies can create shared value: (1) by reconceiving products and markets to meet societal needs, (2) by redefining productivity in the value chain to reduce social and environmental

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v3i6.2661

costs, and (3) by building supportive industry clusters that strengthen local economies (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

The CSV model has been particularly influential in the field of corporate sustainability, as it offers a way for companies to integrate profit and purpose more seamlessly. Unlike CSR, which is often seen as a separate, voluntary activity, CSV is embedded in the company's business strategy and is aimed at creating value for both the company and society. Companies such as Unilever, Nestlé, and Patagonia have been cited as examples of firms that have successfully implemented CSV strategies to achieve both business success and social impact.

Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan (USLP)

Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan (USLP) is a prime example of a company that has successfully integrated the principles of CSV into its business strategy. The USLP, launched in 2010, outlines three key goals: improving health and well-being for over 1 billion people, reducing the environmental impact of Unilever's operations by half, and enhancing livelihoods across its supply chain. Through the USLP, Unilever has committed to embedding sustainability into its core business operations, with a focus on innovation, efficiency, and positive social impact.

The USLP has been widely regarded as a benchmark for corporate sustainability, demonstrating that companies can align profit and purpose to achieve long-term success. Unilever's approach involves integrating sustainability into product development, sourcing, and marketing, ensuring that sustainability is not treated as a secondary concern but as a central element of its business model. Through initiatives such as reducing carbon emissions, sourcing sustainable raw materials, and promoting health and hygiene, Unilever has created significant value for both its stakeholders and its shareholders.

Unilever's success with the USLP illustrates how companies can balance profit and purpose by aligning their business strategy with societal needs. It also highlights the importance of leadership, innovation, and stakeholder engagement in driving corporate sustainability initiatives. The company's ability to integrate sustainability into its core business operations has not only contributed to its reputation as a leader in corporate responsibility but has also resulted in financial benefits, such as increased sales, enhanced brand loyalty, and stronger investor confidence.

METHOD

This study employs a literature review methodology to analyze Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan (USLP) and its ability to balance profit and purpose. The data used for this research includes 42 scholarly journals, articles, and reliable internet sources relevant to corporate sustainability and CSR. The sources were selected based on their direct relevance to the integration of profit and social/environmental goals within business strategies.

The data collection process involved gathering primary and secondary data, including academic publications, Unilever's corporate sustainability reports, and industry publications. These sources provide insight into Unilever's practices, goals, and outcomes related to its sustainability initiatives.

Content analysis was used as the primary technique for analyzing the collected data. This qualitative method involves systematically categorizing the data into key themes such as profit generation, social responsibility, environmental sustainability, and business performance. The data was then coded to identify patterns and recurring themes, which were interpreted to understand how Unilever has integrated social and environmental objectives with its business strategy.

The analysis also draws comparisons with other companies that have adopted similar sustainability practices, offering a broader context to Unilever's approach. The findings from this analysis were synthesized to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of integrating profit with purpose, as seen in Unilever's success with the Sustainable Living Plan.

The reliability and validity of the study were ensured through source triangulation, using multiple sources to cross-verify findings, and expert review to validate the methodology and conclusions. While the study is limited to Unilever's experience, it provides valuable insights for other companies aiming to balance profitability with sustainability.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Profit Generation and Financial Performance

Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan has been associated with impressive financial outcomes. Brands that are part of the USLP have experienced growth rates 69% faster than those that are not, indicating a clear link between sustainability initiatives and financial success. By aligning sustainability goals with business objectives, Unilever has been able to attract consumers who are increasingly conscious of environmental and social issues. This has resulted in stronger brand loyalty, increased market share, and enhanced customer trust.

The integration of sustainability into Unilever's operations has also led to cost savings and operational efficiencies. Initiatives such as reducing carbon emissions, optimizing water usage, and minimizing waste have not only contributed to the company's environmental goals but have also reduced operational costs in the long term. The use of renewable energy in Unilever's production facilities and sustainable sourcing of materials have proven to be both environmentally and economically beneficial.

Social and Environmental Impact

Unilever’s focus on social responsibility through the Sustainable Living Plan has resulted in significant improvements in community well-being. One of the key goals of the USLP is to improve the health and well-being of over 1 billion people, which has been achieved through programs like Lifebuoy’s handwashing campaign and the development of products that promote healthy living. The company’s commitment to providing access to clean water and supporting sanitation efforts in developing regions has further solidified its role as a socially responsible business.

In terms of environmental sustainability, Unilever has made considerable strides toward reducing its environmental footprint. By 2020, the company reduced its greenhouse gas emissions by 70% and aimed for net-zero emissions by 2039. Moreover, 64% of the energy used in its operations comes from renewable sources, a testament to its commitment to mitigating climate change. Unilever’s efforts to create sustainable supply chains, particularly in sourcing raw materials like palm oil, have not only helped conserve resources but also supported smallholder farmers by providing them with training and access to markets, thus improving their livelihoods.

Stakeholder Engagement and Reputation

Unilever’s sustainability initiatives have contributed to an enhanced corporate reputation, positioning the company as a leader in corporate responsibility and environmental stewardship. Its engagement with stakeholders—ranging from employees to consumers, suppliers, and local communities—has been central to the success of the Sustainable Living Plan. By incorporating stakeholder primacy into its strategy, Unilever has fostered positive relationships with both internal and external stakeholders, which has in turn helped the company to maintain a competitive edge.

Unilever’s Shakti program, which empowers rural women in India by providing them with entrepreneurial opportunities to sell Unilever products, is an example of how the company’s sustainability efforts align with its goal of creating value for all stakeholders. The program not only empowers women but also strengthens the company’s supply chain by creating a reliable distribution network in underserved areas.

Challenges and Limitations

While Unilever’s Sustainable Living Plan has yielded positive outcomes, the company has faced several challenges in achieving its sustainability goals. One major challenge has been the supply chain complexity, particularly in sourcing sustainable materials across diverse geographies. For example, ensuring that palm oil and paper products are sustainably sourced has required continuous monitoring and collaboration with suppliers to ensure compliance with sustainability standards.

Additionally, the company's sustainability goals are often impacted by external factors such as regulatory changes and market fluctuations. For instance, shifting regulations around plastic waste and recycling could pose challenges for Unilever's goal of making all its plastic packaging recyclable by 2025. Furthermore, Unilever's business model requires constant innovation to stay ahead of competitors, which can be resource-intensive and risky.

Despite these challenges, Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan continues to set a benchmark for other corporations, demonstrating that it is possible to integrate profit with purpose in a way that benefits both business and society.

Comparative Insights: Other Companies' Sustainability Efforts

Unilever's approach to sustainability is not unique, although its scope and success stand out. Companies like Patagonia and Nestlé have also made strides in balancing profit and social responsibility, although their approaches differ. For example, Patagonia has focused heavily on environmental advocacy and producing products with minimal environmental impact, such as using recycled materials in its clothing line. Similarly, Nestlé has focused on improving nutrition and supporting local farming communities.

What differentiates Unilever is its holistic approach, where sustainability is embedded at every level of the business, from product development to marketing, operations, and stakeholder relations. This comprehensive integration of sustainability into the company's core strategy has made it a model for businesses across industries.

The findings of this study show that Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan has proven to be a successful model for balancing profit and purpose. The company has not only achieved financial growth through sustainable product lines but also made significant strides in addressing global social and environmental challenges. The Sustainable Living Plan exemplifies how companies can create shared value—benefiting both business and society—by aligning sustainability goals with corporate strategy.

While challenges remain, particularly in supply chain management and external regulatory pressures, Unilever's ongoing commitment to integrating sustainability into its business operations underscores the importance of balancing profit with purpose. This case study provides valuable insights for other companies seeking to adopt sustainable business practices that align with both financial success and social responsibility.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v3i6.2661

CONCLUSION

The analysis of Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan (USLP) reveals that the company has successfully integrated profit and purpose, demonstrating that sustainability can be a key driver of long-term business success. Through the USLP, Unilever has not only achieved financial growth but also made significant contributions to global social and environmental issues. By embedding sustainability at the heart of its business strategy, Unilever has shown that companies can balance profit with social responsibility, creating value for shareholders and stakeholders alike.

Unilever's approach to sustainability—focusing on goals such as improving health and well-being, reducing environmental impact, and enhancing livelihoods within its supply chain—has proven effective. The company's ability to achieve financial growth through its sustainability initiatives is evident, with brands that are part of the USLP experiencing growth rates 69% faster than those not included. Additionally, by optimizing resources, reducing waste, and transitioning to renewable energy, Unilever has made notable strides in cutting operational costs and enhancing its environmental performance. These actions have contributed to cost savings and brand loyalty, positioning Unilever as a market leader in corporate responsibility.

The positive social and environmental impact of the USLP is equally significant. Unilever's efforts to improve health and hygiene, reduce carbon emissions, and empower women through initiatives such as Shakti reflect the company's commitment to addressing global challenges. Furthermore, by aligning sustainability with its core business strategy, Unilever has strengthened its corporate reputation and developed stronger relationships with stakeholders, including employees, suppliers, and consumers.

However, the study also highlights the challenges faced by Unilever in implementing the USLP, particularly with respect to supply chain complexities, regulatory changes, and the need for continuous innovation. Despite these obstacles, Unilever's commitment to sustainability remains steadfast, setting a strong example for other companies seeking to align profit with purpose.

In conclusion, Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan serves as a model for other companies aiming to achieve long-term profitability while contributing to the greater good. The integration of sustainability into business operations can create shared value for both companies and society, leading to a more sustainable and prosperous future. As businesses continue to face increasing pressure from consumers, investors, and regulatory bodies to adopt sustainable practices, the lessons learned from Unilever's approach can guide future corporate strategies aimed at balancing profit with purpose.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, N. H., Agung Widhi Kurniawan, Nurman, Romansyah Sahabuddin, & Andi Mustika Amin. (2023). Analisis Rasio Keuangan Dalam Menilai Kinerja Keuangan Pada Industri Kosmetik yang Terdaftar di BEI. SINOMIKA Journal: Publikasi Ilmiah Bidang Ekonomi Dan Akuntansi, 2(1), 77–84. https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v2i1.884

Abror, G., & Muharam, H. (2024). Impact of Ultramicro Holding on Financial Performance and Business Sustainability. Research Horizon, 4(4), 47-54.

Alghafes, R., Karim, S., Aliani, K., Qureishi, N., & Alkayed, L. (2024). Influence of key ESG factors on Islamic banks' financial performance: Evidence from GCC countries. International Review of Economics and Finance, 96.

Aluchna, M., & Roszkowska-Menkes, M. (2019). Integrating corporate social responsibility and corporate governance at the company level. Towards a conceptual model. Engineering Economics, 30(3), 349-361.

Atif, M. (2021). The effects of energy consumption, economic growth, and financial development on CO2 emissions in Greece. Arthatama, 5(1), 13-26.

Austin, J., & Seitanidi, M. M. (2012). Collaborative value creation: A review of partnering between nonprofits and businesses: Part I. Value creation spectrum and collaboration stages. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 41(5), 726-758.

Bansal, P., & DesJardine, M. R. (2014). Business sustainability: It is about time. Strategic Organization, 12(1), 70-78.

Besley, T., & Ghatak, M. (2017). Profit with purpose? A theory of social enterprise. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy.

Board, iDeals (2024). Shareholder vs stakeholder: Comparing models & approaches. Ideals Board.

Bocean, C. G., Nicolescu, M. M., Cazacu, M., & Dumitriu, S. (2022). The role of social responsibility and ethics in employees' wellbeing. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(14), 8838.

Bosse, D. A., & Harrison, J. S. (2011). Stakeholders, entrepreneurial rent and bounded self-interest. Stakeholder Theory: Impact and Prospects, 193-211.

Boström, M., Jönsson, A. M., Lockie, S., Mol, A. P., & Oosterveer, P. (2015). Sustainable and responsible supply chain governance: challenges and opportunities. Journal of Cleaner Production, 107, 1-7.

Brown, J. A., & Forster, W. R. (2013). CSR and stakeholder theory: A tale of Adam Smith. Journal of Business Ethics, 112(2), 301-312.

Bruneel, J., Moray, N., Stevens, R., & Fassin, Y. (2016). Balancing Competing Logics in For-Profit Social Enterprises: A Need for Hybrid Governance. Journal of Social Entrepreneurship.

Candio, P. (2024). The effect of ESG and CSR attitude on financial performance in Europe: A quantitative re-examination. Journal of Environmental Management, 354.

Chandler, D. (2020). Strategic Corporate Social Responsibility. Sustainable Value Creation. 5th ed. London: Sage.

Chen, S., Song, Y., & Gao, P. (2023). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance and financial outcomes: Analyzing the impact of ESG on financial performance. Journal of Environmental Management, 345.

Cramer, A., & Karabell, Z. (2010). Sustainable Excellence: The Future of Business in a Fast-Changing World. Rodale Books.

Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. Academy of Management Review, 20(1), 65-91.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2011). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 59(5), 1045-1061.

Geng, R., Mansouri, S. A., & Aktas, E. (2017). The relationship between green supply chain management and performance: A meta-analysis of empirical evidences in Asian emerging economies. International Journal of Production Economics, 183, 245-258.

Govindan, K., Shaw, M., & Majumdar, A. (2021). Social sustainability tensions in multi-tier supply chain: A systematic literature review towards conceptual framework development. Journal of Cleaner Production, 279, 123075.

Guo, X., Cheng, L., & Liu, J. (2020). Green supply chain contracts with eco-labels issued by the sales platform: profitability and environmental implications. International Journal of Production Research, 58(5), 1485-1504.

Heydari, J., Govindan, K., & Basiri, Z. (2020). Balancing price and green quality in presence of consumer environmental awareness: a green supply chain coordination approach. International Journal of Production Research.

Hyun, G. H., Wootae, C., & Zhan, W. (2021). Multiple-dimensions of corporate social responsibility and global brand value: a stakeholder theory perspective. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 29(4), 409-422.

Jeremy (2021). Patagonia case study: How to drive profit with purpose. Misio.

Kundu, P. N. (2023). Patagonia - balancing profit and environmental responsibility: A case study. LinkedIn.

Lukviarman, N. (2005). Perspektif shareholding versus stakeholder. Jurnal Siasat Bisnis.

Mainardes, E. W., Alves, H., & Raposo, M. (2012). A model for stakeholder classification and stakeholder relationships. Management Decision, 50(10), 1861–1879.

McGrath, S. K., & Whitty, S. J. (2016). Definitional confusion regarding stakeholders. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 10(4), 721–748.

Modreanu, A., Andrișan, G. N., Sârbu, A.-M., & Grădinaru, C. (2022). Corporate Social Responsibility, a Strategic Tool for Sustainable Value Creation: A Case Study of Unilever’s Sustainable Living Plan. BASIQ International Conference, 575–582.

Mubarik, M., Raja Mohd Rasi, R. Z., Mubarak, M. F., & Ashraf, R. (2021). Impact of blockchain technology on green supply chain practices: evidence from emerging economy. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 32(5), 1023-1039.

Ntim, C. G. (2024). Ethical Supply Chain Management: Balancing Profit, Social Responsibility, and Environmental Stewardship. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(4), 1069–1077.

Oshioste, E., Okoye, C., & Udokwu, S. (2023). The effectiveness of CSR in sustainable development: A case-study of Total's oil exploration activities in the Niger-Delta region. Economic Growth and Environment Sustainability (EGNES).

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. Harvard Business Review, 89(1/2), 62-77.

Rameshwar, R., Saha, R., & Shamindra, N. S. (2020). Strategic corporate social responsibility, capabilities, and opportunities: Empirical substantiation and futuristic implications. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management Journal, 27(6), 2816-2830.

Savitz, A. W., & Weber, K. (2012). The Triple Bottom Line: How Today's Best-Run Companies Are Achieving Economic, Social, and Environmental Success and How You Can Too. John Wiley & Sons.

Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: categories and interactions. Business Strategy and the Environment, 20(4), 222-237.

Singh, K., & Misra, M. (2021). Linking Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Organizational Performance: the moderating effect of corporate reputation. European Research on Management and Business Economics, 27(1), 100139.

Stindt, D. (2017). A generic planning approach for sustainable supply chain management-How to integrate concepts and methods to address the issues of sustainability? Journal of Cleaner Production, 153, 146-163.

Tania, J., Wahyuningtyas, A., Putri, P., & Muharam, H. (2025). Research Horizon.

van de Velde, M. (2018). Beyond shareholder theory: Exploring effective business models balancing profits and purpose. Enlighten Theses.

Vargas, C., Whelan, J., Brimblecombe, J., Brock, J., Christian, M., & Allender, S. (2022). Co-creation of healthier food retail environments: A systematic

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v3i6.2661

review to explore the type of stakeholders and their motivations and stage of engagement. Obesity Reviews, 23(9), 1–20.