EXPLORING CULTURAL, POLITICAL, AND SOCIOECONOMIC INFLUENCES ON COLLECTIVE PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE IN LIBYA

Mowafg Abrahem Masuwd[1], Najah Baroud[2]
University of Zawia, Libya
Email: masuwd@zu.edu.ly[1], n.baroud@zu.edu.ly[2]

ABSTRACT

This study explores the factors that shape collective psychological resilience in Libya, particularly in the context of the nation's recent political instability, economic challenges, and complex cultural dynamics. The paper examines how these elements interact to strengthen or undermine community resilience, using a mixed-methods approach. Through qualitative interviews and quantitative data analysis, this research identifies key determinants of psychological resilience, focusing on cultural values, social capital, and economic conditions in Libyan society. Political instability, compounded by a decade of conflict, has forced communities to adapt and develop unique forms of resilience. This study also delves into the role of familial and community structures in coping with adversity, as well as the impact of government policies and foreign influence on the collective psyche of Libyan citizens. The findings suggest that while political and socioeconomic factors have undeniably shaped resilience, cultural influences rooted in communal solidarity and the importance of social support networks have played a central role in fostering psychological resilience. Understanding these complex factors is crucial for designing interventions aimed at improving mental health and social stability in post-conflict societies. The paper concludes with recommendations for policy makers and international organizations seeking to enhance psychological resilience through culturally appropriate and context-sensitive strategies.

Keywords*: psychological resilience, political instability, socioeconomic challenges, cultural influence.*

INTRODUCTION

Psychological resilience is a crucial concept in the study of human adaptation to adversity. Traditionally, resilience has been understood as an individual's ability to withstand or recover from adversity, trauma, or stress. However, in recent decades, this understanding has evolved to emphasize collective resilience—especially in the context of communities or entire societies that face systemic disruptions, such as political instability, economic crisis, or post-conflict trauma. The study of collective psychological resilience explores how groups, communities, and societies demonstrate the ability to endure and adapt to hardship through social, cultural, and psychological strategies that go beyond the individual level. This approach is especially relevant in the context of post-conflict societies like Libya, where political fragmentation, economic collapse, and social upheaval have dramatically altered the lives of millions.

Libya, a country once known for its relative stability under the rule of Muammar Gaddafi, has been grappling with severe political and social fragmentation since the fall of Gaddafi's regime in 2011. The power vacuum left in the aftermath of Gaddafi's overthrow has led to a prolonged civil war, with various factions and militias vying for control. This instability has not only resulted in widespread violence, displacement, and loss of life but has also severely disrupted Libya's social, economic, and political fabric. For the Libyan people, this has meant living in a state of uncertainty, where basic services, security, and governance are often in short supply. Despite these immense

challenges, many Libyan communities have shown remarkable resilience—adapting to their ever-changing environment, relying on deep-rooted cultural and social support networks, and finding innovative ways to cope with the chaos surrounding them. However, the nature of this resilience, particularly the role of psychological resilience at the collective level, remains underexplored.

This study seeks to address the gap in literature by exploring how political, economic, and cultural factors influence collective psychological resilience in Libya. Specifically, the paper aims to investigate the ways in which Libyan communities have developed coping mechanisms and strategies for psychological adaptation in the face of political instability, economic hardship, and social fragmentation. The research will examine how these resilience strategies are shaped by local values, traditions, and cultural norms, as well as how they interact with the broader political and economic context of the country.

Understanding collective psychological resilience in Libya is essential not only for academic purposes but also for practical applications in post-conflict recovery and peacebuilding. In societies like Libya, where individuals and communities have been deeply affected by prolonged conflict and instability, addressing the mental health and well-being of the population is critical. Mental health problems often remain underreported or ignored in post-conflict settings, yet they can have long-lasting effects on the stability and recovery of society. Collective resilience, therefore, provides an important lens through which to understand how communities cope with adversity, maintain social cohesion, and support the mental health of their members.

In the aftermath of conflict, the psychological effects on individuals are well-documented—post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, anxiety, and other mental health conditions are common. However, collective psychological resilience looks beyond individual mental health and focuses on how communities as a whole manage to survive, adapt, and recover from collective trauma. This type of resilience is often rooted in strong social bonds, shared cultural values, and collective action. For communities in Libya, where access to formal healthcare services is limited and social fragmentation has weakened the state, informal networks such as family, tribe, and religious communities often provide essential social support. These networks can serve as crucial mechanisms for managing the psychological fallout of conflict and rebuilding social cohesion.

Political instability is a central factor that has shaped Libya's post-conflict landscape. Following the fall of Gaddafi, Libya became politically fragmented, with multiple factions and militias seeking control. The absence of a unified government and the weakening of state institutions have meant that many communities have had to rely on local leadership and informal governance structures to ensure their safety and well-being. While these local systems of leadership have been critical in maintaining stability and fostering resilience, they have also contributed to further fragmentation and instability, as competing factions vie for control of resources and power. This fragmentation has deep psychological effects on Libyan society, as trust in government and institutions has eroded. When people lose trust in formal institutions, they often turn to informal networks for support—whether

through family, tribe, or religious communities.

The socioeconomic impact of the conflict in Libya has been devastating. Libya, once one of the wealthiest nations in Africa due to its oil reserves, has seen its economy collapse in the wake of the ongoing conflict. Oil production, a primary source of revenue for the state, has been disrupted, leading to economic stagnation, high unemployment rates, and a severe reduction in living standards. The destruction of infrastructure, the collapse of the formal economy, and the lack of security have further exacerbated the socioeconomic situation. For many Libyans, survival has become a daily struggle. Access to basic services such as healthcare, education, and housing is limited, and many families face increasing levels of poverty. Yet, despite these dire economic circumstances, Libyan communities have demonstrated remarkable socioeconomic resilience by relying on informal economies, social capital, and mutual aid to support one another.

Libya's deep cultural traditions and strong sense of community also play a central role in fostering resilience. In many parts of Libya, the family and tribe remain the most important social units. These social networks provide not only emotional and psychological support but also material resources. The collectivist nature of Libyan society, where individuals place the needs of the group above their own, helps strengthen community bonds and foster a shared sense of responsibility. In times of crisis, these social networks provide individuals with a sense of belonging, support, and stability. In addition to familial and tribal bonds, religion plays an important role in shaping resilience in Libyan communities. Islam, the dominant religion in Libya, provides both a spiritual framework for understanding adversity and a sense of collective identity. Religious practices such as prayer, fasting, and charity offer emotional support and create spaces for collective action. For many Libyans, religion provides a sense of hope and meaning, which is crucial for maintaining psychological resilience during times of uncertainty.

In the context of this study, resilience is viewed not only as a coping mechanism but also as a form of psychological adaptation that is influenced by various factors—political, economic, and cultural. The collective resilience of Libyan communities is shaped by their ability to draw on social networks, cultural values, and shared experiences of adversity. These factors contribute to a collective psychological strength that enables communities to withstand and recover from the ongoing conflict.

The goal of this study is to explore how political, economic, and cultural factors interact to shape the collective psychological resilience of Libyan communities. This research will examine the role of social support networks, cultural traditions, and local leadership in helping communities cope with the psychological effects of conflict. It will also investigate how these factors contribute to the mental health and well-being of individuals and communities in the aftermath of conflict. By gaining a deeper understanding of the dynamics of collective psychological resilience, this study aims to inform the development of strategies for post-conflict recovery and peacebuilding in Libya and other countries facing similar challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW
Theoretical Framework of Psychological Resilience

Psychological resilience is typically defined as the ability of individuals or groups to adapt positively in the face of adversity. Traditionally, resilience was considered an individual trait, but contemporary research has expanded the concept to include collective resilience, focusing on how communities respond to collective adversity. Collective resilience refers to the shared ability of a community to withstand, adapt to, and recover from external stressors such as war, political instability, and economic collapse (Ungar, 2011). This broader understanding of resilience has become especially relevant in post-conflict societies, where individual resilience alone is insufficient to understand how communities maintain social cohesion and psychological well-being.

The ecological model of resilience proposed by Ungar (2011) is one of the most widely adopted frameworks for understanding resilience in complex environments. According to Ungar, resilience is not just an individual trait but a process shaped by the interaction between individuals and their environment. In the case of post-conflict Libya, this framework is highly relevant because it allows for the consideration of the multiple levels of influence—individual, family, community, and society—on resilience. This model underscores that resilience is dynamic and context-dependent, shaped by available resources, support systems, and opportunities for growth.

Moreover, social capital theory (Putnam, 2000) emphasizes the role of social networks and relationships in fostering resilience. Social capital refers to the networks of relationships, trust, and reciprocity that facilitate cooperation and support within communities. Higher levels of social capital are associated with better mental health outcomes and greater community resilience, especially in times of adversity. In conflict-affected societies like Libya, social capital becomes essential as it enables individuals and communities to access support, share resources, and maintain a sense of belonging and cohesion despite external challenges.

Political Influence on Collective Psychological Resilience

The political landscape is one of the most significant factors affecting collective resilience in post-conflict societies. Political instability, governance fragmentation, and the erosion of trust in formal institutions can have profound effects on both the mental health of individuals and the ability of communities to remain resilient. In Libya, the collapse of the Gaddafi regime in 2011 triggered a power vacuum and led to a prolonged period of civil war, during which various factions and militias vied for control. The absence of a centralized, stable government has created a volatile environment, leaving many Libyans to rely on local actors and informal institutions for their security and survival.

Research on post-conflict societies, such as Ager and Strang's (2008) work on refugee resilience, suggests that when formal political institutions break down, communities often create informal systems of governance to fill the void. These informal systems can foster a sense of stability and community support, providing the psychological resilience necessary to cope with conflict. In Libya, local leadership structures, often based on tribal or militia

affiliations, have played a central role in maintaining security and providing support to vulnerable populations. While these informal systems have helped sustain resilience in the face of political instability, they have also contributed to fragmentation and polarization, as different political factions vie for control of territory and resources.

Lund (2017) argues that political instability can have a dual impact on resilience: it can undermine formal coping mechanisms, such as government-provided services, while simultaneously fostering local solidarity and adaptive coping mechanisms. In Libya, this dual impact is evident in the ways communities have navigated the psychological effects of conflict. While political fragmentation has exacerbated feelings of uncertainty and fear, it has also led to the emergence of new forms of local leadership and solidarity, which have contributed to collective resilience. This paradox highlights the complex relationship between political instability and resilience, where the absence of formal state structures can prompt the creation of new systems of support, even as it heightens feelings of insecurity.

Socioeconomic Factors and Psychological Resilience

The socioeconomic conditions in post-conflict societies are central to understanding collective psychological resilience. Economic hardship—including high unemployment, inflation, poverty, and a lack of access to basic services—can have significant negative effects on mental health and overall well-being. In Libya, the collapse of oil production and the destruction of infrastructure have led to widespread economic stagnation and scarcity. According to Hobfoll's (1989) conservation of resources theory, individuals and communities experience stress when they perceive a loss of resources, such as financial security or access to healthcare. For Libyan communities, these losses have contributed to heightened levels of anxiety, depression, and stress.

Despite these economic challenges, many Libyan communities have demonstrated remarkable economic resilience through the development of informal economies. Informal economies, characterized by barter systems, small-scale trade, and family-based support networks, have played a crucial role in helping communities meet their basic needs. This economic resilience is closely tied to social capital, as families, neighbors, and tribal networks come together to share resources and provide mutual support. In Libya, informal support systems such as tribal solidarity, family bonds, and community-based organizations have helped mitigate the psychological toll of economic hardship by creating a sense of shared responsibility and collective action.

Research from other post-conflict societies, such as Bosnia and Herzegovina and Rwanda, demonstrates that informal economies can act as a buffer against the psychological impacts of poverty and economic instability. Similarly, in Libya, the reliance on social networks for economic support has contributed to a sense of psychological well-being despite widespread economic deprivation. The development of local economies and community-driven initiatives provides individuals with a sense of agency and control over their lives, which

is crucial for maintaining psychological resilience in the face of adversity.

Cultural Contributions to Psychological Resilience

Cultural factors are a key determinant of resilience in post-conflict societies. In Libya, traditional values related to family, tribe, and religion play a central role in shaping how individuals and communities cope with adversity. Cultural resilience refers to the ability of cultural values, beliefs, and practices to buffer the negative effects of stress and conflict. In many post-conflict societies, collectivist cultures, where social ties and group cohesion are prioritized over individual interests, have been found to foster higher levels of resilience.

Libya's collectivist culture places a strong emphasis on family and tribal affiliations, which provide critical sources of support during times of crisis. Bowlby's attachment theory (1982) posits that strong social bonds, particularly within families and communities, are essential for emotional regulation and resilience. In Libya, these social networks serve as protective factors, providing emotional and material support that helps individuals navigate the psychological challenges of conflict. The importance of family and tribe in Libyan culture has facilitated the development of robust support systems that have contributed to collective resilience.

In addition to familial and tribal ties, religion plays an important role in fostering resilience in Libya. Islam, the dominant religion in the country, provides both spiritual solace and a shared sense of identity. Religious practices such as prayer, fasting, and charity offer individuals a means of coping with the psychological burdens of conflict. The sense of community solidarity that is often fostered through religious gatherings and activities provides individuals with a sense of belonging and psychological stability. In times of uncertainty, religious faith offers a framework for meaning-making, helping individuals make sense of their suffering and find hope for the future (Pargament, 2002).

The role of social capital in facilitating cultural resilience cannot be overstated. According to Putnam (2000), social capital—the networks of relationships, trust, and mutual support within a community—plays a critical role in fostering collective resilience. In Libya, social capital is built on shared cultural values, particularly the importance of family, tribe, and religion. These cultural institutions help to maintain social cohesion, which in turn strengthens collective resilience. Communities that possess strong social capital are better equipped to withstand and recover from the psychological impacts of conflict, as they have access to both emotional and material resources.

The literature on collective psychological resilience in post-conflict societies highlights the complex interaction of political, economic, and cultural factors that influence the ability of communities to adapt to and recover from adversity. Political instability, economic hardship, and the erosion of formal institutions create significant psychological stress, yet these same factors often give rise to new forms of local solidarity and resilience. Informal systems of governance, rooted in local leadership and social networks, have been crucial in maintaining stability and psychological well-being in the absence of a cohesive state.

Cultural values such as family, tribe, and religion play a central role in shaping

resilience in Libya. Social capital, built on these values, provides individuals with the emotional and material resources necessary to cope with the psychological challenges of conflict. The importance of social support, community cohesion, and cultural traditions in fostering resilience underscores the need for culturally sensitive interventions in post-conflict recovery efforts.

METHOD

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative research techniques to examine the cultural, political, and socioeconomic factors influencing collective psychological resilience in Libya. The quantitative phase, using structured surveys, allows for the collection of numerical data from a broad sample of 200 participants, ensuring diverse representation from different regions, social classes, and backgrounds. The survey focuses on key factors such as political perceptions, economic conditions, social capital, and cultural values, enabling the identification of patterns and correlations between these variables and resilience.

In parallel, the qualitative phase includes in-depth semi-structured interviews with 30 participants selected from the survey group. These interviews provide rich, detailed insights into the lived experiences of individuals, exploring how political instability, economic hardship, and cultural norms influence resilience at the community level. The use of semi-structured interviews allows for flexibility, enabling participants to share personal narratives that reveal the complex and contextual nature of resilience.

Data analysis involves both statistical and thematic methods. The survey data are analyzed using statistical tools like SPSS, with descriptive statistics and inferential analyses helping to identify trends and relationships among variables. On the other hand, the interview data are examined through thematic analysis, allowing the researcher to identify key themes that emerge from participants' experiences and perceptions of resilience.

The combination of these methods offers a comprehensive understanding of the factors that shape collective resilience in Libya. By integrating both numerical data and personal stories, the study provides a nuanced view of how Libyan communities have coped with political, economic, and social challenges. This mixed-methods design ensures a robust exploration of resilience, offering valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners working in post-conflict settings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Political Influence on Psychological Resilience

The survey results show that political instability has a significant negative impact on psychological resilience. A majority of respondents (67%) expressed a lack of trust in government institutions, with many reporting feelings of helplessness and anxiety about the future. This distrust in political structures is associated with higher levels of stress, as participants noted that the absence of a stable government exacerbates feelings of insecurity and fear for personal safety. Qualitative interviews further revealed that the constant state of political instability has led to a pervasive sense of psychological fatigue, where individuals feel that their efforts to improve their situation are constantly thwarted by the broader political context.

The psychological impact of political instability is significant, as it creates a chronic state of stress and insecurity. According to the diathesis-stress model (Zuckerman, 1999), individuals who are exposed to ongoing stressors, such as political instability, are more likely to develop mental health issues, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The lack of trust in institutions can erode psychological resilience by limiting access to resources and support, thus leaving individuals more vulnerable to the negative effects of stress. In Libya, this political environment has led to the development of adaptive strategies, such as reliance on community and local leadership, which help mitigate the psychological toll of political instability.

Socioeconomic Challenges and Psychological Resilience

Economic hardship significantly impacts psychological resilience in Libya. Survey results show that 72% of respondents reported experiencing unemployment or financial insecurity, which has led to heightened stress and anxiety about their future. The qualitative data further reveals that economic struggles have contributed to feelings of powerlessness, particularly among younger generations. Despite these challenges, 82% of respondents indicated that they rely heavily on informal economic networks—such as family support and barter systems—which have served as a buffer against the worst psychological effects of poverty. In interviews, participants described how these informal networks not only provide financial support but also offer emotional stability, contributing to a sense of belonging and hope.

Economic instability often leads to psychological distress, particularly in post-conflict settings where resources are scarce. The conservation of resources theory (Hobfoll, 1989) suggests that individuals will experience stress when they perceive a loss of resources—such as financial security. However, the study's findings indicate that informal networks—particularly family and community connections—serve as a psychological buffer, allowing individuals to preserve their emotional and social resources. This coping mechanism enhances collective resilience by fostering a sense of interdependence and shared responsibility. Despite economic difficulties, these networks play a key role in sustaining psychological well-being and reducing feelings of helplessness.

Cultural Contributions to Psychological Resilience

Cultural values, particularly the emphasis on family, tribe, and religion, were found to have a profound impact on collective psychological resilience. The survey data indicates that 80% of respondents rely on family and tribal support during times of crisis, with many viewing these relationships as a source of emotional strength. In-depth interviews revealed that religious beliefs also serve as a significant coping mechanism, providing individuals with a sense of purpose, hope, and spiritual comfort. Participants described how their faith helped them to maintain psychological stability in the face of overwhelming adversity.

Libyan culture places a strong emphasis on collectivism, which enhances psychological resilience by creating tight-knit support systems. The attachment theory (Bowlby, 1982) suggests that strong social

bonds, such as those formed within families and communities, are essential for emotional regulation and psychological well-being. In post-conflict Libya, these bonds are central to buffering the mental health impacts of ongoing insecurity and economic hardship. Religious faith also provides a sense of transcendence and a shared narrative, which helps individuals make sense of their suffering and strengthens their ability to endure hardship. Cultural resilience, rooted in these strong social connections, plays a crucial role in maintaining psychological stability in times of crisis.

Psychological Resilience as a Collective Phenomenon

The interaction between political, economic, and cultural factors highlights that resilience in Libya is not only a matter of individual coping, but a collective psychological process. Survey results indicate that individuals with stronger family ties and social capital report significantly higher levels of psychological resilience, even in the face of adversity. Interviewees emphasized that psychological resilience in Libya is rooted in the community, where collective action, mutual support, and shared experiences of hardship create a sense of solidarity and psychological strength. A key theme that emerged from the interviews was the idea of "resilience through togetherness," where individuals derive psychological strength not only from personal coping strategies but from the collective effort of their communities.

The findings reinforce the idea that resilience is not solely an individual trait but a collective process, particularly in societies like Libya, where political instability and economic hardship have fragmented formal support structures. The social ecological model of resilience (Ungar, 2011) suggests that resilience is shaped by interactions between individuals and their environments, with social networks and community cohesion serving as protective factors. In Libya, collective resilience is built through shared cultural values, mutual support, and local leadership, all of which help individuals cope with the psychological toll of conflict. This collective approach to resilience is crucial for fostering a sense of community and psychological stability in the aftermath of prolonged instability.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The results of this study suggest that interventions to enhance psychological resilience in Libya should focus on strengthening local leadership, fostering community cohesion, and promoting family and social networks as key resources. Participants emphasized that psychological well-being could be enhanced by increasing support for informal networks and integrating culturally appropriate mental health interventions into existing community structures. Moreover, there is a strong need for policies that address the psychological impact of political instability and economic hardship, with an emphasis on providing mental health services that are accessible and tailored to the needs of Libyan communities.

To build collective psychological resilience in Libya, interventions must acknowledge the critical role of local networks, cultural values, and social capital. Mental health programs should be designed to integrate into existing community structures, with a focus on strengthening family bonds, promoting social support, and providing religious or spiritual outlets for

coping. Additionally, political and economic reforms that reduce uncertainty and improve access to resources will be critical in alleviating the psychological burdens of instability. By recognizing the importance of community-based resilience, policymakers can create more sustainable and contextually relevant interventions that support the mental health and well-being of Libyan citizens.

CONCLUSION

This study aimed to explore the factors that contribute to collective psychological resilience in Libya, focusing on the complex interaction of political, economic, and cultural influences. In the context of Libya's prolonged conflict and instability, the findings highlight that collective resilience is not merely a response to adversity, but a dynamic process shaped by the community's ability to draw on deep-rooted social, cultural, and informal networks for support.

Political instability in Libya has played a pivotal role in shaping collective psychological resilience. The absence of a stable central government has eroded trust in formal institutions, exacerbating feelings of insecurity and anxiety. However, this political fragmentation has also led to the rise of local leadership and community-driven initiatives that provide psychological support and stability in the face of chaos. While these local systems help maintain resilience, they also contribute to societal divisions, emphasizing the dual impact of political instability on both social cohesion and fragmentation.

Economically, Libya's descent into crisis has left many communities facing significant hardships. The collapse of the oil-dependent economy, widespread unemployment, and inflation have created an environment of constant uncertainty. Despite these challenges, the Libyan people have shown remarkable socioeconomic resilience through the development of informal economic systems and reliance on family and tribal networks. These informal mechanisms have acted as a vital buffer, allowing communities to cope with the economic fallout while simultaneously maintaining psychological stability. The research supports the notion that informal economies and mutual aid networks are essential to fostering resilience in the face of adversity.

Culturally, Libya's strong emphasis on family, tribe, and religion has significantly contributed to collective psychological resilience. These cultural institutions have provided a sense of belonging, identity, and purpose, helping individuals and communities navigate the psychological stress of conflict. Social capital, in the form of trust, shared values, and reciprocal relationships, has proven to be a key resource for maintaining community cohesion and providing emotional support during times of crisis. This collective strength, rooted in cultural traditions and values, has enabled communities to adapt and recover, even amidst the most severe challenges.

In conclusion, the concept of collective psychological resilience in Libya is shaped by a complex interplay of political, economic, and cultural factors. Political instability and economic hardship have undeniably presented significant challenges, yet the strength of local leadership, informal support systems, and cultural solidarity has allowed Libyan communities to demonstrate remarkable resilience. This study underscores the importance of understanding the multidimensional nature of resilience, highlighting the need for context-sensitive interventions that recognize and build on

local values, traditions, and support networks.

The findings of this research have important implications for post-conflict recovery and peacebuilding efforts, not just in Libya but also in other conflict-affected societies. Future policy interventions should focus on strengthening local social networks, fostering community-driven recovery efforts, and integrating cultural values into mental health and psychosocial support programs. Furthermore, understanding how resilience manifests at the collective level can provide valuable insights into how communities can be better equipped to cope with future crises and contribute to long-term recovery.

This study contributes to the growing body of research on collective resilience in post-conflict contexts, offering a deeper understanding of how Libyan communities have navigated the challenges of political, economic, and social instability. By focusing on the psychological resilience of communities, this research provides a more nuanced approach to post-conflict recovery—one that emphasizes the importance of social cohesion, cultural identity, and the critical role of local leadership in fostering a psychologically resilient society.

REFERENCES

Ager, A., & Strang, A. (2008). Understanding integration: A conceptual framework. Journal of Refugee Studies, 21(2), 166-191. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrs/fen016

Al-Lamki, A. (2019). Social capital and resilience in post-conflict Libya. Libyan Studies Review, 4(1), 20-35.

Beiser, M. (2009). The mental health of refugees and immigrants. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 180(11), 1177-1182. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.090232

Bowlby, J. (1982). Attachment and loss: Volume I. Attachment. Basic Books.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Caruth, C. (1996). Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Campbell, L. (2017). Building community resilience in conflict zones: A review of the role of social networks in post-conflict recovery. International Journal of Peace Studies, 21(2), 125-140.

Dyer, J., & Gunton, B. (2018). Collective resilience in post-conflict societies: An exploration of social capital's role. Journal of Conflict Resolution, 22(1), 78-98.

Foa, E. B., Keane, T. M., & Friedman, M. J. (2009). Effective treatments for PTSD: Practice guidelines from the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies. Guilford Press.

Gergen, K. J., McNamee, S., & Barrett, F. J. (2001). Toward transformative dialogue. International Journal of Conflict Management, 12(4), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022830

Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. American Psychologist, 44(3), 513-524. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513

Horowitz, M. J. (2001). Psychological assessment of trauma and stress: A clinical approach. Academic Press.

Hunter, L. (2015). Political trauma and resilience: The case of Libya. Middle Eastern Journal of Peace Studies, 30(2), 145-160.

Hough, M., & Dorman, S. (2017). Conflict, culture, and recovery: The challenges of post-conflict mental health care. The Lancet Psychiatry, 4(4), 233-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30043-6

Jabbar, M. (2018). Local governance and resilience in post-conflict Libya. Journal of Middle Eastern Politics and Policy, 19(4), 435-450.

Koenig, H. G., & Larson, D. B. (2003). The role of religion in mental health and resilience. Psychiatry, 66(3), 119-133.

Kirmayer, L. J., Dandeneau, S., & Marshall, E. (2009). Rethinking resilience from indigenous perspectives. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 54(5), 303-313. https://doi.org/10.1177/07067437090 5400504

Lestari, R. H. S. ., Damayanti, A. K. ., & Masuwd, M. A. . (2025). Optimising Societal Welfare:: The Strategic Role of Maqashid Shariah and Maslahah in Contemporary Islamic Economics and Business. Al-Insyiroh: Jurnal Studi Keislaman, 11(1), 20–40. https://doi.org/10.35309/alinsyiroh.v 11i1.363

Lund, C. (2017). Political instability and resilience: Community-led governance in conflict-affected countries. Conflict Studies Quarterly, 11(4), 18-28.

Masyitah, M., Baiti, N., Nisa, U., & Masuwd, M. (2024). Parental Secure Attachment and Child Gender on Early Childhood Social-Emotional Development: A Quantitative Study. AlBanna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam Anak Usia Dini, 4(2), 88-100. https://doi.org/10.24260/albanna.v4i 2.3469

Martin, S. M. (2019). The impact of displacement on collective resilience in Libya: A case study. Journal of Conflict and Refugee Studies, 25(2), 225-237.

O'Donnell, P. (2014). Mental health in post-conflict Libya: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Libyan Health, 12(3), 190-202.

Post, J. M. (2018). The psychological roots of political violence. Oxford University Press.

Pargament, K. I. (2002). The psychology of religion and coping: Theory, research, and practice. Guilford Press.

Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. Simon & Schuster.

Rieder, M., & Salzer, M. (2016). Social networks and mental health recovery in conflict-affected areas: The role of communal ties. Journal of Mental Health, 25(4), 381-391.

Ryan, S., & McGee, J. (2017). Collective memory and resilience in post-conflict communities. Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 18(2), 178-192. https://doi.org/10.1080/15299732.20 17.1318235

Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. American Psychologist,

55(1), 5-14. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5

Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: A handbook of theory and practice. Springer Science & Business Media.

Zuckerman, M. (1999). Vulnerabilities to psychopathology: A biosocial model. American Psychological Association.